

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,968	01/16/2002	Joseph M. Ross	HEND-AI	1544
7590 02/14/2005			EXAMINER	
David P. Dureska			TO, TOAN C	
Buckingham, Doolittle & Burroughs, LLP 4518 Fulton Drive, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Canton, OH 44735-5548			3616	

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. *			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/051,968 ROSS ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Toan C To	3616	//
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) 	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba	ndonment of this app	
applicant must timely hie one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods:	peal (with appeal fee) in complianc	e with 37 CFR 41.31;	; or (3) a
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date o			
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) 	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07() .		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	a) and the appropriate ext	ension fee have
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	atutory period for reply originally set in the	e final Office action; or (2)) as set forth in (b)
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the 	41.37 must be filed within two mon CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of
Appear has been filed, any reply files be filed within the AMENDMENTS	time period set fortif in 37 Of 1741	.51 (a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		OTE below);	
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	• • • •		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		compliant Amendmen	it (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be s 		e, timely filed amendr	ment canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>2,3 and 11</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5-10</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filinentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:		<u> </u>	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Toan To
Patent Examiner
February 10, 2005

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Recitation "being formed of a generally rigid polymer" in the proposed amendment claim 1, and the newly submitted Affidavit(s) raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.